Annex C

Unit Development Costs Derivation Methodology

1 Background

Along with the setting of the access targets come the investment requirements needed to realize the proposed plans. For the purposes of the master planning, the Consulting Team has developed unit cost estimates for infrastructure and non-infrastructure investments for the WSS sector.

Cost derivation for the identified water and sanitation projects was derived using the following procedure:

- a) Unit development costs were based on similar components of both ongoing and recently completed WSS project implement, engineered, or subjected to feasibility studies by the firms of the Consulting Team JV (EDCOP, TRACTEBEL and OIDCI);
- Implementation and project preparation dates, geographical locations and mode of implementation (PPP, Design-Bid-Build, Design-Build, Turn-key) were considered in order to proper level-off direct and indirect costs;
- c) Project costs were judiciously validated with available development plans and/or engineering drawings and/or technical reports and/or operational data;
- d) Cost components in terms of labor, construction materials, equipment usage, construction methodologies were also taken into account; and
- e) To properly consider dates of implementation and/or project preparation, local and foreign cost elements were also identified. This is to properly infuse escalation and foreign exchange costs in cost items with importation requirements, or those involving foreign technologies.

To raise the level of confidence on the derived cost, these were then compared to prices of completed and current projects with due considerations for the order of magnitude of the total project and the particular work items being referred to. The reference price was adjusted to reflect differing conditions with the reference project which include considerations of differences in the type of terrain, geographical location, local geology and project specifications.

Furthermore, various agencies and organizations (DILG, DPWH, DOH, private service providers) were consulted to gather insights and industry expertise based on current and previous practices and experience in costing out WSS projects.

2 Cost Components

Development cost estimates are mainly classified into two components consisting of direct and indirect costs as graphically shown in Figure 1.





2.1 Direct Costs

Cost derivations conducted are mostly based on direct costs. These are items which are identifiable from a practical accounting standpoint as having been incurred in the performance of a specific item of work. These items include equipment, materials, and labor.

2.1.1 Material Cost

The material cost inputs considered in this analysis consist of canvassed cost from different suppliers which include the cost of hauling to site. The 12% value added tax was removed from canvassed materials.

The current market price of construction materials was gathered/collected by canvassing/market price survey. Quotations from various suppliers were taken most especially major construction materials i.e., cement, reinforcing steel bars, steel pipes etc. were requested.

The cost of materials included processing crushing, stockpiling, loading, royalties on quarries, local taxes, hauling cost, wastage or losses and others.

2.1.2 Equipment Cost

The operated rental rates per hour of the construction equipment were adopted based on the Associated Construction Equipment Lessors (ACEL), Inc. Equipment Rental Rates 25th Edition (2014). Rental rates indicate depreciation, cost of maintenance, fuel, lubricants, insurance and also wages of the equipment operator.

Minor equipment and tools that are not reflected in ACEL 25th Edition were taken from the latest rental rate schedule of the Department of Public Works and Highways (DPWH) – Bureau of Equipment.

2.1.3 Labor Cost

Labor cost includes wages and all fringe benefits such as vacation and sick leave, bonuses, SSS contributions, PAGIBIG, PhilHealth and workmen compensation.

The following government agencies were the sources of data considered in the analyses:

- National Wages and Productivity Board
- Department of Labor and Employment
- Social Security System
- Pag-ibig Fund
- Philhealth Insurance Co. Inc.
- Several Local Contractor

WSS facilities and components considered for cost derivations includes the following:

| Table 1: (| Costing | Considerations | Components |
|------------|---------|----------------|------------|
|------------|---------|----------------|------------|



| Subsector | Components |
|-----------|--|
| | Level III Low Source Development (surface water) Infiltration gallery Treatment: Conventional treatment facility Transmission lines Distribution (pipe network, control valves, tap stands) Electrical signal system Water Treatment Plant (Conventional, Membrane, RO, COCODAF, etc.) Storage (Raw Water, Treated Water) Distribution System (pipe network, control valves, individual connections, flushing facilities, fire hydrants) No pumping required Level III High Same with Level III Low Instead of infiltration gallery, weir / dam will be constructed Pumping facilities will be constructed |
| | Basic, Improved Toilets Septic tanks |

Septage Management Systems

- Septage Treatment Plant
- Vacuum Trucks
- Disposal Area(s)



Sanitation



Sewerage System

- STP
- Septic tanks
- Connection
- Interceptors
- Disposal Area(s)



Available and applicable technologies for different sanitation approaches

Development costs are derived on a per household basis, as targeting is anchored on the increase of the total number of households that will have access to safe and sustainable water supply and sanitation services in the future. Tables 21 and 22 show the derived direct costs for WSS facilities and components broken down into levels of services, components, and overall design and technological considerations.





Available and applicable technologies for different sanitation approaches

Development costs are derived on a per household basis, as targeting is anchored on the increase of the total number of households that will have access to safe and sustainable water supply and sanitation services in the future. Tables 21 and 22 show the derived direct costs for WSS facilities and components broken down into levels of services, components, and overall design and technological considerations.

Table 2: Water Supply Development Costs

| Cost Item | Cost per HH (High Scenario) | Cost per HH (Low Scenario) |
|------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Level I Water System | PhP 8,400 / HH | - |
| Level II Water System | PhP 18,700 / HH | - |
| Level III Water System | PhP 31,800 / HH | PhP 28,600 / HH |

Derived direct costs are slightly higher than current industry rates¹ but would be better to employ for conservative purposes. Level III systems considered a high and low scenario. The former approach considered a water system whose distribution is run by gravity, while the latter uses pumping stations, entailing longer pressurized pipelines and higher electrical costs (power consumption).

For sanitation costs, derived costs are based on estimations done by the DPWH for their use in the NSSMP. Development costs included in the document are at 2011 price levels which are escalated to 2018 prices for use in the PWSSMP.

Table 3: Sanitation Development Costs

| Cost Item | | PhP per HH |
|---------------------------|---|------------|
| Basic and Improved Access | | |
| | In-place cost of individual toilets | 4,000 |
| | In-place cost of individual septic tanks | 22,500 |
| | Total Cost to achieve Safely Managed Sanitation, in terms of facility | 26,500 |
| Septage Management | | |
| | per capita for large systems | 1,250 |
| | per capita for medium-sized systems | 1,350 |
| | per capita for smaller systems | 1,650 |
| Sewerage System | | |
| Large Flows | > 200k pop. | 27,000 |
| Moderate Flows | 100k-200k pop. | 33,250 |
| Smaller systems | < 100k pop. | 39,500 |

Source: NSSMP (For Septage Management and Sewerage System)

Economies of scale are observed in septage management systems and programs as the larger facilities generally cost less per unit volume of septage treated than smaller systems. Items in blue are adopted as unit development costs. Largest unit development costs for septage and sewerage are adopted for conservative purposes.

¹ DILG uses PhP 5,000, PhP15,000, and PhP 25,000 per HH for Levels 1, 2, and 3, respectively.

2.2 Indirect Costs

Indirect costs, on the other hand, are those which may appropriately spread to various items of work and include mark-ups on the estimated direct costs or these are costs that are not directly involved in the execution of the work items. These are costs which cannot be accounted for as having been incurred in the performance of a specific item of work.

Mark-ups on the estimated direct cost or costs that are not directly involved in the execution of work items were classified under the "Indirect Cost". The table below, which was taken from DPWH Department Order 197, Series of 2016, summarizes the percentage of indirect costs allowed with regard to the estimated direct costs:

| Estimated Direct | Indirect Cost % for | Total Indirect Cost | | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|--------|--|
| Costs (EDC) | OCM (% of EDC) | PROFIT (% of EDC) | Profit | |
| Up to PhP 5M | 15 | 10 | 25 | |
| Above PhP 5M up to PhP 50M | 12 | 8 | 20 | |
| Above PhP 50M up to PhP 150M | 10 | 8 | 18 | |
| Above PhP 150M | 8 | 8 | 16 | |

Value Added Tax

A Value Added Tax of 12% for direct equipment and labor cost (Reference BIR Regulations) will be included in the indirect costs.

Based on industry standards and the Consulting Team's experience in cost estimation, the following assumptions are to be adopted in the preparation for the indirect costs of the project:

Table 4: Indirect Costs

| Indirect Cost | Water Supply | Sanitation |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|---------------|
| Physical and Price Contingencies | 10% | 10% |
| Feasibility Studies | 3% | 2% |
| Detailed Engineering Design | 6% | 4% |
| Construction Supervision | 5% | 4% |
| ROW / Land Acquisition | 3% | 2% |
| Organizational Cost/Permits | 2% | 2% |
| Capacity Development | PhP 33,350 / staff* | PhP 667,000** |

*1 staff per 100 HH (LWUA standards) **fixed costs Capacity building costs were based on the standard practice of government agencies and offices in their conduct of and/or participation in trainings and seminars. Costs employed are also within on maximum amount allowed as per DBM and COA guidelines². Derived capacity development costs are based on the following considerations:

- Participant to attend a five-day workshop with Day 1 as travel time
- Each participant to be budgeted PhP 2,000 per day which covers meals and accommodation
- For out of town seminars, a PhP 10,000 per person is allotted for transportation costs
- Capacity development activities to engage six (6) resource persons for four (4) days at eight (8) hours per day at PhP 2,000 per hour
- PhP 15,000 per day for venue rental for 4 workshop proper days
- For water supply, one (1) personnel per 100 HH connections will be capacitated
- For sanitation, 20 personnel per province will be capacitated

Water Quality Testing Laboratories

The establishment of a water quality testing laboratory per province is also included in the investment requirements. Basis of costs are from LWUA estimates and considered provision of both bacteriological and physical/chemical analyses. Breakdown of costs are shown below:

| | Cost Component | Bacteriological Anal- ysis | Physical / Chemical Analysis |
|---|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| A | Civil Works | 2,00 | 0,000 |
| В | Equipment | 1,625,000 | 3,462,000 |
| С | Chemical/Culture Media/ Reagent | 17,450 | 24,443 |
| D | Glassware/Supplies | 118,980 | 66,000 |
| Е | Grand Total (in PhP) | 7,31 | 3,873 |
| F | Adopted Total (in PhP) | 7,50 | 0,000 |

Table 5: Direct Costs for Civil Works, Laboratory Equipment, Chemical, Glasswares, and Supplies

Source: LWUA

3 Cost Regional Deviations

In the derivation of development costs, the base cost was computed using prevailing construction and project implementation costs for the National Capital Region (NCR). However, with the archipelagic nature of the Philippines, cost deviations will be considered as regional and geographical factors are entered into the equation. These deviations will be taken into account when having the laid-out programs provided corresponding costs considering the 16 regional administrative boundaries.

As each region has its own distinct geographical, economical, and accessibility characteristics, labor, material, and equipment costs are bound to be different to others. Given that premise, regional factors are multiplied to the NCR base costs to appropriate cost components and to realistically estimate investment requirements of proposed water supply and sanitation projects in each respective locales.

Table 24 shows the regional ratios relative to NCR base costs that shall be employed in the project. Likewise, in anticipation of possible funding from international sources (whether ODA or PPP, among others) breakdown of development costs into local and foreign components shall be done.

| | Material 50% | | Labor | Labor 15% | | Equipment 35% | |
|--------|--------------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|---------------|--|
| Region | Foreign 20% | Local 30% | Foreign 6% | Local 9% | Foreign 7% | Local 28% | |
| | Fac | tor | Fa | ctor | Fac | tor | |
| NCR | - | | | | - | | |
| CAR | 104.9 | 90% | 59 | 1% | 39 | 6 | |
| 1 | 110.8 | 85% | 61 | % | 39 | 6 | |
| 2 | 105.4 | 48% | 66 | 6% | 29 | 6 | |
| 3 | 105.60% | | 74 | 74% | | 2% | |
| 4-A | 123.95% | | 74% | | 2% | | |
| 4-B | 122.58% | | 59% | | 39 | 3% | |
| 5 | 120. | 18% | 57 | % | 39 | 6 | |
| 6 | 122. | 77% | 63% | | 5% | 6 | |
| 7 | 114. | 59% | 71% | | 5% | | |
| 8 | 117. | 78% | 56% | | 5% | | |
| 9 | 123. | 62% | 58 | 58% | | 6 | |
| 10 | 125. | 74% | 66 | 66% | | 6 | |
| 11 | 108.0 | 66% | 66 | 6% | 89 | 6 | |
| 12 | 132. | 14% | 58 | 58% | | 6 | |
| CARAGA | 142.4 | 40% | 59 | 1% | 89 | 6 | |
| ARMM | 132. | 14% | 52 | .% | 10 | % | |

Table 6: Regional Development Cost Deviations

4 Derived Costs

The derived regional unit development costs for water supply and sanitation facilities are shown in the following tables:

| Destion | Development Costs Per Household (in PhP) | | | | | | |
|------------------|--|----------|---------------|----------------|--|--|--|
| Region | Level 1 | Level II | Level III Low | Level III High | | | |
| NCR (Base Costs) | 8,400 | 18,700 | 28,600 | 31,800 | | | |
| CAR | 8,200 | 18,200 | 27,900 | 31,000 | | | |
| 1 | 8,400 | 18,800 | 28,800 | 32,000 | | | |
| 2 | 8,300 | 18,400 | 28,200 | 31,300 | | | |
| 3 | 8,400 | 18,600 | 28,500 | 31,700 | | | |
| 4-A | 9,100 | 20,300 | 31,200 | 34,600 | | | |
| 4-B | 8,900 | 19,900 | 30,400 | 33,800 | | | |
| 5 | 8,800 | 19,600 | 30,000 | 33,300 | | | |
| 6 | 9,000 | 20,100 | 30,800 | 34,300 | | | |
| 7 | 8,800 | 19,600 | 30,000 | 33,300 | | | |
| 8 | 8,700 | 19,500 | 29,800 | 33,100 | | | |
| 9 | 9,100 | 20,200 | 31,000 | 34,500 | | | |
| 10 | 9,300 | 20,700 | 31,700 | 35,200 | | | |
| 11 | 8,600 | 19,100 | 29,200 | 32,500 | | | |
| 12 | 9,400 | 21,000 | 32,200 | 35,800 | | | |
| CARAGA | 9,900 | 22,000 | 33,800 | 37,500 | | | |
| ARMM | 9,400 | 21,000 | 32,200 | 35,800 | | | |

Table 7: Summarized Regional Unit Development Costs for Water Supply

| | | | | Level I | | | |
|--------|-------------|-----------|------------|--------------|------------|-----------|--------|
| Region | Mate | erial | La | bor | Equip | oment | |
| | Foreign 20% | Local 30% | Skilled 6% | Unskilled 9% | Foreign 7% | Local 28% | AMOUNT |
| NCR | 1,669 | 2,503 | 501 | 751 | 584 | 2,336 | 8,400 |
| CAR | 1,751 | 2,626 | 295 | 443 | 602 | 2,406 | 8,200 |
| 1 | 1,850 | 2,775 | 305 | 458 | 602 | 2,406 | 8,400 |
| 2 | 1,760 | 2,640 | 330 | 496 | 596 | 2,383 | 8,300 |
| 3 | 1,762 | 2,643 | 370 | 556 | 596 | 2,383 | 8,400 |
| 4-A | 2,068 | 3,103 | 370 | 556 | 596 | 2,383 | 9,100 |
| 4-B | 2,046 | 3,068 | 295 | 443 | 602 | 2,406 | 8,900 |
| 5 | 2,006 | 3,008 | 285 | 428 | 602 | 2,406 | 8,800 |
| 6 | 2,049 | 3,073 | 315 | 473 | 613 | 2,453 | 9,000 |
| 7 | 1,912 | 2,868 | 355 | 533 | 613 | 2,453 | 8,800 |
| 8 | 1,966 | 2,948 | 280 | 421 | 613 | 2,453 | 8,700 |
| 9 | 2,063 | 3,094 | 290 | 436 | 631 | 2,523 | 9,100 |
| 10 | 2,098 | 3,148 | 330 | 496 | 631 | 2,523 | 9,300 |
| 11 | 1,813 | 2,720 | 330 | 496 | 631 | 2,523 | 8,600 |
| 12 | 2,205 | 3,308 | 290 | 436 | 631 | 2,523 | 9,400 |
| CARAGA | 2,376 | 3,565 | 295 | 443 | 631 | 2,523 | 9,900 |
| ARMM | 2,205 | 3,308 | 260 | 390 | 642 | 2,570 | 9,400 |

Table 8: Detailed Regional Development Costs for Water Supply: Levels 1 and 2

| Level II | | | | | | | |
|-------------|-----------|------------|--------------|------------|-----------|--------|--|
| Mate | erial | La | bor | Equip | Equipment | | |
| Foreign 20% | Local 30% | Skilled 6% | Unskilled 9% | Foreign 7% | Local 28% | AMOUNT | |
| 3,730 | 5,595 | 1,119 | 1,679 | 1,306 | 5,222 | 18,700 | |
| 3,913 | 5,869 | 660 | 990 | 1,345 | 5,379 | 18,200 | |
| 4,135 | 6,202 | 683 | 1,024 | 1,345 | 5,379 | 18,800 | |
| 3,934 | 5,902 | 739 | 1,108 | 1,332 | 5,326 | 18,400 | |
| 3,939 | 5,908 | 828 | 1,242 | 1,332 | 5,326 | 18,600 | |
| 4,623 | 6,935 | 828 | 1,242 | 1,332 | 5,326 | 20,300 | |
| 4,572 | 6,858 | 660 | 990 | 1,345 | 5,379 | 19,900 | |
| 4,483 | 6,724 | 638 | 957 | 1,345 | 5,379 | 19,600 | |
| 4,579 | 6,869 | 705 | 1,057 | 1,371 | 5,483 | 20,100 | |
| 4,274 | 6,411 | 794 | 1,192 | 1,371 | 5,483 | 19,600 | |
| 4,393 | 6,590 | 627 | 940 | 1,371 | 5,483 | 19,500 | |
| 4,611 | 6,917 | 649 | 974 | 1,410 | 5,640 | 20,200 | |
| 4,690 | 7,035 | 739 | 1,108 | 1,410 | 5,640 | 20,700 | |
| 4,053 | 6,080 | 739 | 1,108 | 1,410 | 5,640 | 19,100 | |
| 4,929 | 7,393 | 649 | 974 | 1,410 | 5,640 | 21,000 | |
| 5,312 | 7,967 | 660 | 990 | 1,410 | 5,640 | 22,000 | |
| 4,929 | 7,393 | 582 | 873 | 1,436 | 5,744 | 21,000 | |

| | | | | Level III Low | | | |
|--------|-------------|-----------|------------|---------------|------------|-----------|--------|
| Region | Mate | erial | La | bor | Equip | | |
| | Foreign 20% | Local 30% | Skilled 6% | Unskilled 9% | Foreign 7% | Local 28% | AMOUNT |
| NCR | 5,720 | 8,580 | 1,716 | 2,574 | 2,002 | 8,008 | 28,600 |
| CAR | 6,000 | 9,000 | 1,012 | 1,519 | 2,062 | 8,248 | 27,900 |
| 1 | 6,341 | 9,511 | 1,047 | 1,570 | 2,062 | 8,248 | 28,800 |
| 2 | 6,033 | 9,050 | 1,133 | 1,699 | 2,042 | 8,168 | 28,200 |
| 3 | 6,040 | 9,060 | 1,270 | 1,905 | 2,042 | 8,168 | 28,500 |
| 4-A | 7,090 | 10,635 | 1,270 | 1,905 | 2,042 | 8,168 | 31,200 |
| 4-B | 7,012 | 10,517 | 1,012 | 1,519 | 2,062 | 8,248 | 30,400 |
| 5 | 6,874 | 10,311 | 978 | 1,467 | 2,062 | 8,248 | 30,000 |
| 6 | 7,022 | 10,534 | 1,081 | 1,622 | 2,102 | 8,408 | 30,800 |
| 7 | 6,555 | 9,832 | 1,218 | 1,828 | 2,102 | 8,408 | 30,000 |
| 8 | 6,737 | 10,106 | 961 | 1,441 | 2,102 | 8,408 | 29,800 |
| 9 | 7,071 | 10,607 | 995 | 1,493 | 2,162 | 8,649 | 31,000 |
| 10 | 7,192 | 10,788 | 1,133 | 1,699 | 2,162 | 8,649 | 31,700 |
| 11 | 6,215 | 9,323 | 1,133 | 1,699 | 2,162 | 8,649 | 29,200 |
| 12 | 7,558 | 11,338 | 995 | 1,493 | 2,162 | 8,649 | 32,200 |
| CARAGA | 8,145 | 12,218 | 1,012 | 1,519 | 2,162 | 8,649 | 33,800 |
| ARMM | 7,558 | 11,338 | 892 | 1,338 | 2,202 | 8,809 | 32,200 |

Table 9: Detailed Regional Development Costs for Water Supply: Level III Low and High Scenario

| Level III High | | | | | | |
|----------------|-----------|------------|--------------|------------|-----------|--------|
| Foreign 20% | Local 30% | Skilled 6% | Unskilled 9% | Foreign 7% | Local 28% | AMOUNT |
| 6,360 | 9,540 | 1,908 | 2,862 | 2,226 | 8,904 | 31,800 |
| 6,672 | 10,007 | 1,126 | 1,689 | 2,293 | 9,171 | 31,000 |
| 7,050 | 10,575 | 1,164 | 1,746 | 2,293 | 9,171 | 32,000 |
| 6,709 | 10,063 | 1,259 | 1,889 | 2,271 | 9,082 | 31,300 |
| 6,716 | 10,074 | 1,412 | 2,118 | 2,271 | 9,082 | 31,700 |
| 7,883 | 11,825 | 1,412 | 2,118 | 2,271 | 9,082 | 34,600 |
| 7,796 | 11,694 | 1,126 | 1,689 | 2,293 | 9,171 | 33,800 |
| 7,643 | 11,465 | 1,088 | 1,631 | 2,293 | 9,171 | 33,300 |
| 7,808 | 11,712 | 1,202 | 1,803 | 2,337 | 9,349 | 34,300 |
| 7,288 | 10,932 | 1,355 | 2,032 | 2,337 | 9,349 | 33,300 |
| 7,491 | 11,236 | 1,068 | 1,603 | 2,337 | 9,349 | 33,100 |
| 7,862 | 11,793 | 1,107 | 1,660 | 2,404 | 9,616 | 34,500 |
| 7,997 | 11,996 | 1,259 | 1,889 | 2,404 | 9,616 | 35,200 |
| 6,911 | 10,366 | 1,259 | 1,889 | 2,404 | 9,616 | 32,500 |
| 8,404 | 12,606 | 1,107 | 1,660 | 2,404 | 9,616 | 35,800 |
| 9,057 | 13,585 | 1,126 | 1,689 | 2,404 | 9,616 | 37,500 |
| 8,404 | 12,606 | 992 | 1,488 | 2,449 | 9,794 | 35,800 |

| Table | 10: Summarized | Regional | Unit Deve | lopment | Costs | for Sanitation |
|-------|----------------|----------|-----------|---------|-------|----------------|
| | | | | | | |

| Region | Improved (Whole System) | Basic | Shared / Communal / Limited | Sewerage | Septage |
|---------------------|----------------------------|------------|--------------------------------|----------|---------|
| | PhP/Capita | PhP/Capita | PhP/Capita | PhP/HH | PhP/HH |
| NCR (Base Costs) | 5,300 | 4,500 | 5,300 | 39,500 | 1,650 |
| CAR | 4,950 | 4,200 | 4,490 | 38,530 | 1,730 |
| 1 | 5,200 | 4,410 | 4,720 | 40,060 | 1,670 |
| 2 | 5,060 | 4,300 | 4,540 | 38,990 | 1,630 |
| 3 | 5,170 | 4,390 | 4,590 | 39,490 | 1,650 |
| 4-A | 5,850 | 4,970 | 5,270 | 43,840 | 1,800 |
| 4-B | 5,610 | 4,760 | 5,140 | 42,720 | 1,760 |
| 5 | 5,490 | 4,660 | 5,040 | 42,040 | 1,730 |
| 6 | 5,670 | 4,820 | 5,180 | 43,200 | 1,780 |
| 7 | 5,480 | 4,650 | 4,920 | 41,740 | 1,730 |
| 8 | 5,390 | 4,580 | 4,960 | 41,610 | 1,720 |
| 9 | 5,650 | 4,790 | 5,190 | 43,400 | 1,790 |
| 10 | 5,830 | 4,950 | 5,310 | 44,380 | 1,830 |
| 11 | 5,200 | 4,410 | 4,680 | 40,330 | 1,690 |
| 12 | 5,960 | 5,060 | 5,510 | 45,420 | 1,860 |
| CARAGA | 6,360 | 5,400 | 5,890 | 47,910 | 1,950 |
| ARMM | 5,890 | 5,000 | 5,480 | 45,270 | 1,860 |

5 Nonphysical Investments

The nonphysical investment (NPI) requirements of the PWSSMP cover the a) implementation cost required to carry out programs and activities under the 8 Key Reform Areas; and b) project management cost of the Operational Plan.

NPI requirements involving the reform area programs shall also cover fees of consultants and experts that shall assist in the various stages of the Plan implementation. Concerned fields involve research, studies, consultative and development work, technical, environment, economics and finance, and legal. This shall be implemented in the first four years of the planning horizon covering years 2019 through 2022.

Preliminary NPI for reform programs and activities was calculated by estimating required man months, in a span of four years of implementation, per field/discipline on each reform area. Manmonth rates are estimated and assumed based on prevailing averages in program implementation observed by the Consultant Team throughout the years. Rates also cover not only the professional fees of the experts needed, but the activities to be undertaken in each reform area as well.

Estimated person-years for reform program implementation totals to 42. Employed per person-year rates of each field are as follows:

| Field/Discipline | Experts Needed | Unit Cost per Person - Year (in PhP Million) |
|------------------------------|--|--|
| Technical / Environmental | Hydrologists, Hydrogeologists, Environmentalists, Engineers & Scientists involved in Water Resources, Water Supply, Wastewater Engineering | 6 |
| Institutional | Organizational & Utilities Management, Sociological & Cultural Concerns, Regulations (Resource & Economic) | 10 |
| Eco-Financial | Financial Experts, Economists | 6 |
| Legal | Statutory Provisions, Laws - Clean Water Act. Public Service Act, PPP, PD's and EO's on Water, Department of Water | 10 |
| Support | Researchers, Surveyors, Intermediate Engineers | 2 |

Table 11: Assumed Rates for Programs Implementation

The overall implementation of the plan will be handled by a special task unit (i.e. a Project Management Office (PMO)). The PMO is to be adequately staffed to evaluate proposed projects, assist in project preparation, organization (and/or strengthening) of the implementing units for specific sub-projects (WDs, LGUs, CBOs), and provide assistance in technical and environmental concerns (water sources, review of proposals, environmental concerns, climate change adaptation works, economic and financial evaluation, contract reviews, etc.).

Required man months per year for Project Management Costs totals to 16.5. This value is multiplied by four to cover the four-year implementation. Rates in Table 11 were also likewise used.

Table12 shows the estimated NPI requirements for the a) Implementation Cost of the Programs; and B) Project Management Cost of the Operational Plan.

Table 12: Estimated Nonphysical Investment Requirements for 2019 - 2022

| | | Person-Year (PY) Required for 2019 - 2022 | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---------------|---------------|-------|---------|--|--|--|
| | Reform Areas | Technical, Environmental | Institutional | Eco-Financial | Legal | Support | | | |
| | Unit Cost per Man-Month (in PhP Million) | 6 | 9.6 | 6 | 9.6 | 1.8 | | | |
| A | Implementation Cost of the Programs | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Effective Delivery of Water Supply and Sanitation Services | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0.5 | 3 | | | |
| 2 | Regulating Water Supply and Sanitation | | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | |
| 3 | Creating Effective WSS Institutions | | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | |
| 3 | Balancing Water Supply and Demand | 2 | | 1 | | 1 | | | |
| 4 | Ensuring Climate Resiliency | 2 | 1 | | 0.5 | 2 | | | |
| 6 | Enhancing Access to Funding and Financing | 1 | 1 | 2 | | 2 | | | |
| 7 | Driving Research and Development | 2 | | 1 | | 2 | | | |
| 8 | Managing Data and Information | 2 | 0.5 | 0.5 | | 2 | | | |
| | Subtotal | 10 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 3 | 14 | | | |
| в | Project Management Cost of the Operational Plan (per year)* | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0.5 | 12 | | | |
| С | TOTAL (in PhP Million) | 12 | 8.5 | 8.5 | 3.5 | 26 | | | |

* Number of PYs to be multiplied by 4

| | Nonphysical Investment Requirement for 2019 - 2022 | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|--|---------------|-------|---------|----------|---|--------|----------------------------|----------------|
| Technical, Environmental | Institutional | Eco-Financial | Legal | Support | Subtotal | OPE, Miscellaneous (25% of Subtotal) | Total | Taxes (15% of Total) | Grand Total |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | 9.6 | 6 | 4.8 | 5.4 | 31.8 | 7.95 | 39.75 | 5.96 | 45.71 |
| - | 19.2 | 6 | 9.6 | 1.8 | 36.6 | 9.15 | 45.75 | 6.86 | 52.61 |
| - | 19.2 | 6 | 9.6 | 1.8 | 36.6 | 9.15 | 45.75 | 6.86 | 52.61 |
| 12 | - | 6 | - | 1.8 | 19.8 | 3.2 | 23 | 3.45 | 26.45 |
| 12 | 9.6 | - | 4.8 | 3.6 | 30 | 1.12 | 31.12 | 4.67 | 35.79 |
| 6 | 9.6 | 12 | - | 3.6 | 31.2 | 7.8 | 39 | 5.85 | 44.85 |
| 12 | - | 6 | - | 3.6 | 21.6 | 5.4 | 27 | 4.05 | 31.05 |
| 12 | 4.8 | 3 | - | 3.6 | 23.4 | 5.85 | 29.25 | 4.39 | 33.64 |
| 60 | 72 | 45 | 28.8 | 25.2 | 231 | 49.62 | 280.62 | 42.09 | 322.72 |
| 48 | 38.4 | 24 | 19.2 | 86.4 | 216 | 75.6 | 291.6 | 43.74 | 335.34 |
| 108 | 110.4 | 69 | 48 | 111.6 | 447 | 125.22 | 572.22 | 85.83 | 658.06 |