Promoting Competition





CONSUMER WELFARE IMPROVED



MARKET EFFICIENCY IMPROVED





Anti-competitive practices diminished



Barriers to entry and re-entry reduced



Limits to entrepreneurship reduced

- Strengthen investigation, detection, and prosecution of conducts and agreements that may substantially prevent, restrict, or lessen competition
- Conduct market scoping studies in priority sectors to facilitate competition among MSMEs
- Improve the regulatory environment by addressing restrictive laws and regulations that hamper competition
- Institutionalize the use of the Competition Assessment Manual/Toolkit

- Streamline business registration and permitting processes
- Establish the Philippine Business Databank (PBD)



Cross-cutting Strategies

- Issue and implement the National Competition Policy (NCP)
- Strengthen capacity of relevant agencies to foster competition
- Increase understanding and awareness of market competition, the PCA and PCC
- Expand the mechanism for various sectors to report potential violations of competition

^a Actual data as of December 2016, or latest available.

^b 2020 targets were set prior to the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic and retained in the Midterm Update.

^c 2021 and 2022 targets were adjusted to take into consideration the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic.

d EOP targets were adjusted to take into consideration the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic; may either be the cumulative or incremental target value at the end of the Plan period.

e Concerned NEDA Board Committees/Cabinet Cluster/Inter-Agency Committees responsible for delivering the outcomes and the concerned implementing agencies for delivering the outputs.

Lead agency responsible for reporting progress on indicator targets.

Ohio atius / Dooulto	SDG Tier 1	Indicator	Baseline ^a				Annual Pl	an Targets	;		End of Plan	Means of	D "11 A B	B o Forf	Assumptions and Risks
Objectives/Results	Indicators	indicator	Year	Value	2017	2018	2019	2020 ^b	2021 ^c	2022 ^c	Target ^d	Verification	Responsible Agency ^e	Reporting Entity ^f	Assumptions and Risks
Subchapter Outcome							_								
Anti-competitive practices diminished		GCI Business Dynamism improved	2016	Percentile Rank: 62 Rank: 52/38; Score: 4.1/7	N/A	N/A	50	69	70	71	71	WEF-GCI	DTI, PCC, ARTA		Economic and political risks
		GCI Goods/Product Market Efficiency improved	2016	Percentile Rank: 28 Rank: 99/138; Score: 4.1/7	N/A	N/A	31	63	62	65	65	WEF-GCI	DTI, PCC	DTI	Economic and political risks
		GCI Extent of Market Dominance improved	2016	Percentile Rank: 17 Rank: 114/138; Score: 3.1/7	N/A	N/A	N/A	20	21	22	22	WEF-GCI	DTI, PCC, ARTA	ARTA	Economic and political risks
Subchapter Outcome	2														
Barriers to entry and re-entry reduced		GCI Market size improved	2016	Percentile Rank: 78 Rank: 31/138; Score: 4.9/7	N/A	N/A	80	80	78	80	80	WEF-GCI	DTI-CB, PCC		Economic and political risks
		Burden of government regulation improved ⁹	2016	Percentile Rank: 15 Rank: 117/138 Score: 2.7/7	N/A	N/A	N/A	29	32	35	35	WEF-GCI	PCC	PCC	Economic and political risks

⁹ The "Burden on government regulations" indicator was transferred from Subchapter Outcome 3 (Reducing limits to entrepreneurship) to Subchapter Outcome 2 (Reducing barriers to entry and re-entry).

Objectives/Results	SDG Tier 1	Indicator	Baseline ^a				Annual Pl	an Targets	S		End of Plan Means of	Means of		- a - a t	Assumptions and Diales
Objectives/Results	Indicators		Year	Value	2017	2018	2019	2020 ^b	2021°	2022 ^c	Target ^d	Verification	Responsible Agency ^e	Reporting Entity ^t	Assumptions and Risks
Subchapter Outcon	ne 3														
Limits to entrepreneurship reduced		Starting a Business Score Improved	2016	68.56	N/A	N/A	N/A	83	100	100	100	WB Doing Business report	ARTA	ARTA	Economic and political risks
Aggregate Outputs															
		Percentage of merger cases within the reglementary period of review	2016	Baseline: 100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	PCC decisions	PCC	PCC	Legal risk; complexity of cases
		Percentage of adjudication proceedings resolved within the reglementary period	2016	Baseline: 100%	N/A	N/A	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	PCC decisions	PCC	PCC	Legal risk; complexity of cases
		Percentage of competition- related complaints acted upon within prescribed period	2016	Baseline: 100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	95%	100%	99%	PCC decisions	PCC	PCC	Legal risk; complexity of cases
		Percentage of households aware of the PCC	2016	Baseline: 1.00%	N/A	1.00%	1.50%	3.50% ^h	6.50%	7.50%	7.50%	Survey results	PCC	PCC	Social risk (Timely completion of survey)
		Percentage of firms aware of the PCC	N/A	N/A	N/A	2.00%	4.00%	17% ⁱ	7%	8%	8%	Survey results	PCC	PCC	Social risk (Timely completion of survey)
		Percentage of NGAs and LGUs aware of the PCC	N/A	N/A	N/A	2.00%	4.00%	12% ^j	25%	30%	30%	Survey results	PCC	PCC	Social risk (Timely completion of survey)
		Number of advocacy and communication activities conducted	N/A	N/A	5	5	5	15	15	15	75	PCC documents	PCC	PCC	Social risk (Availability of target participants)
		Number of capacity building activities for executive agencies conducted	N/A	N/A	2	2	2	2	2	2	12	PCC documents	PCC	PCC	Social risk (Availability of target participants)
		Number of capacity building activities for the legislature conducted	N/A	N/A	2	2	2	2	2	2	12	PCC decisions	PCC	PCC	Social risk (Availability of target participants)
		Number of capacity building activities for the judiciary conducted	N/A	N/A	2	2	2	2	2	2	12	PCC decisions	PCC	PCC	Social risk (Availability of target participants)
		Number of capacity building activities for PCC and DOJ employees	N/A	N/A	2	2	2	2	2	2	12	PCC decisions	DOJ and PCC	PCC	Social risk (Availability of target participants)

h Retention of the pre-COVID 2020 target is not in line with the most recent actual (baseline) figures from the 2019 surveys conducted by the Economics Office of PCC. Hence, PCC suggested the adjustment of target to 5.5 percent.

Retention of the pre-COVID 2020 target is not in line with the most recent actual (baseline) figures from the 2019 surveys conducted by the Economics Office of PCC. Hence, PCC suggested the adjustment of target to 8 percent.

Pactention of the pre-COVID 2020 target is not in line with the most recent actual (baseline) figures from the 2019 surveys conducted by the Economics Office of PCC. Hence, PCC suggested the adjustment of target to 21 percent.

Objectives/Results	SDG Tier 1	Indicator	Baseline ^a			1	Annual Pl	an Targets	;		End of Plan	Means of	Beeneneible Ageneye	Danastina Entitud	Assumptions and Risks
Objectives/Results	Indicators	indicator	Year	Value	2017	2018	2019	2020 ^b	2021°	2022 ^c	Target ^d	Verification	Responsible Agency ^e	Reporting Entity	Assumptions and Risks
		Number of competition-related publications released by major academic and research institutions	2016	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	11	12	13	76	Research reports from academic and research institutions	PCC		Social risk (availability of target participants and resources)
		Number of policy papers/reports/position papers produced based on pro-active review of policies and regulations	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	4	4	12	PCC documents	PCC	PCC	Economic and legal risk
		Number of market studies released	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	5	4	4	23	PCC documents	PCC		Economic and social risk (availability of target participants and resources)
		Number of agencies capacitated by ARTA on Regulatory Impact Analysis	2019 ^k	44	N/A	N/A	N/A	49	54	59	206	ARTA documents	ARTA	ARTA	Economic and legal risk

k Baseline year for "Number of agencies capacitated by ARTA on Regulatory Impact Analysis" under aggregate outputs is 2019, concurrent with the establishment of ARTA.

Objectives/Results	SDG Tier 1 Indicators	Indicator	Base	eline ^a		1	Annual Pla	an Targets	;		End of Plan Means of Target ^d Verification		5 0 5 ml	Assumptions and Risks	
Objectives/Results		indicator	Year	Value	2017	2018	2019	2020 ^b	2021 ^c	2022 ^c		Verification	Responsible Agency ^e	Reporting Entity	Assumptions and Risks
		Proportion of corrective measures for identified GOCCs initiated (%, cumulative)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	100	100	100	100	100	GCG decisions	GCG	GCG	Legal risk
		Proportion of GOCCs with identified competition issues provided with recommendations (%, cumulative)	N/A	N/A	N/A	100	100	100	100	100	100	GCG decisions	GCG	GCG	Legal risk
		Proportion of GOCC mandates and/or competitive neutrality issues reviewed (%, cumulative)	N/A	N/A	10	30	50	70	90	100	100	GCG research reports	GCG		Consultant/GCG capacity and complexity of issues
		Proportion of preliminary investigation of competition cases resolved ¹	2016	70	75	75	75	70	70	75	75	OFC decisions	DOJ-OFC		Legal risk (complexity of cases)
		Proportion of competition cases successfully prosecuted	2016	50	75	75	75	50	50	60	60	Court decisions	DOJ-OFC		Legal risk (complexity of cases)

These proposed aggregate outputs were derived from the previous indicator which was "Proportion of preliminary investigation and prosecution of competition-related cases completed (%, cumulative)." DOJ-OFC opted to separate the resolved preliminary investigations from the prosecuted competition cases.